

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,323	02/17/2004	David Szymanski	INDI 2 00002	1107
27885 75	590 11/23/2009		EXAMINER	
FAY SHARPE LLP 1228 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor				
The Halle Building			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH				

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## 

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/780,323	SZYMANSKI, DAVID		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Clark F. Dexter	3724		

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>08 September 2009</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.** 

1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🛛	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):  Re item 5, the objections to claims 15 and 18 are not "Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal" and thus should not be included in this section, Section VI, or in the Argument section, Section VII; further, the description of the rejection of claim 5 is inaccurate and is missing the listing or references ("Abbott" and "Carlton").  Re item 7, as previously stated in the Office communication of April 8, 2009, the withdrawn claims are not appealed glaims and thus should not be included in the Appendix. Similarly, the canceled claims are referenced glaims and thus should not be included in the Appendix.

/Clark F. Dexter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

should not be reflected in the Appendix. Further, it is noted that the status identifiers should not be provided in the Appendix since the claims are considered to be copies of the currently pending versions of the appealed claims.

<sup>--</sup>The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--